STATE OF NEW YORK SUPREME COURT :

ERIE COUNTY

FILED MAR 1 0 1994

Plaintiff

VS.

COMPLAINT

Index No. 12595/93

REVEREND PAUL P. SABO, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS PASTOR OF VISITATION ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH LISTED AS DEFENDANT BELOW 1040 Lovejoy Street Buffalo, New York 14206

THE VISITATION ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH SOCIETY OF BUFFALO, NEW YORK 1040 Lovejoy Street Buffalo, New York 14206

THE DIOCESE OF BUFFALO, NEW YORK 795 Main Street Buffalo, New York 14203

MOST REVEREND EDWARD D. HEAD, D.D.
INDIVIDUALLY, AS BISHOP OF THE DIOCESE
OF BUFFALO AND AS PRESIDENT OF THE
DIOCESAN CORPORATION
795 Main Street
Buffalo, New York 14203

REVEREND MONSIGNOR ROBERT J. CUNNINGHAM INDIVIDUALLY, AND AS CHANCELLOR AND VICAR GENERAL OF THE DIOCESE OF BUFFALO AND AS SECRETARY OF THE DIOCESAN CORPORATION 795 Main Street Buffalo, New York 14203

Defendants

The Plaintiff, by and through her attorney, SHEILA G. GRAZIANO, ESQ., for her Complaint against the above-named Defendants, herein alleges:

- 1. I am the Plaintiff in the above-entitled action and I am a resident of the County of Erie and State of New York.
- 2. This action was commenced by the filing of a Summons and Notice at the Erie County Clerk's Office on November 9, 1993 and is filed under Index No. 12595/93.
- 3. Upon information and belief, the Defendant, REVEREND PAUL P. SABO, is a priest ordained in the Catholic Church. He resides at the Rectory of the Visitation Roman Catholic Church Society of Buffalo, New York located at 1040 Lovejoy Street, Buffalo, New York 14206.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant, PAUL P. SABO is the Pastor of the Visitation Catholic Church Society of Buffalo, New York.
- 5. Upon information and belief, the Defendant, THE VISITATION CATHOLIC CHURCH SOCIETY OF BUFFALO, NEW YORK, is a non-profit religious corporation operating under the laws of New York State and located at 1040 Lovejoy Street, Buffalo, New York 14206.
- 6. Upon information and belief, the DIOCESE OF BUFFALO, NEW YORK, is a non-profit corporation incorporated under the laws of New York State with corporate headquarters located at 795 Main Street, Buffalo, New York 14203.

- 7. Upon information and belief, the MOST REVEREND EDWARD D. HEAD, D.D., is the Bishop of the DIOCESE OF BUFFALO, NEW YORK, and resides in the City of Buffalo at an address unknown to me.
- 8. Upon information and belief, the MOST REVEREND EDWARD D. HEAD, D.D., is named as President of the DIOCESAN CORPORATION, incorporated under the laws of New York State, whose corporate headquarters is located at 795 Main Street, Buffalo, New York 14203.
- 9. Upon information and belief, the REVEREND MONSIGNOR ROBERT J. CUNNINGHAM, is Chancellor and Vicar of the Diocese of Buffalo and resides in the State of New York at an address unknown to me.
- 10. Upon information and belief, the REVEREND MONSIGNOR ROBERT J. CUNNINGHAM, is named Secretary of the DIOCESAN CORPORATION, incorporated under the laws of New York State, whose corporate headquarters is located at 795 Main Street, Buffalo, New York 14203.

#### BACKGROUND

- 11. On or about in the Winter of 1987, I sought counseling for personal problems from my Parish Priest, PAUL P. SABO.
- 12. Father Paul undertook to provide pastoral counseling in his capacity as a pastor and is so designated as such by the Roman Catholic Church, in its authority and as an official provided to the Parish for counseling as well as to provide other pastoral activities.

- 13. I had been suffering severe physical and emotional problems due to several surgeries, ill health, and the break-up of a relationship with a man, which I thought was going to lead to marriage.
- 14. I was severely depressed, suffered from insomnia, and often thought of taking my life.
- 15. I had known Father Paul for many years and as he had originally been the Assistant Pastor at my local Parish of St. John the Evangelist.
- Parish, also in the South Buffalo area, I had met him off and on as I was contributing to my religious community (my own Parish as well as his Parish) in such activities such as being a substitute teacher for the Religious Education teacher (I was a student at Canisius College, majoring in education), I would baby-sit children of other teachers or parents of students who would come for instruction as they were about to receive the sacraments of confirmation, and for soon to be married couples who would come for pre-marital counseling.

- 17. During this period, Father Paul had served as my confessor in Church and we had developed the relationship of priest/penitent.
- 18. Father Paul had chosen to devote his life to God and in my mind, he was an example of what every parish priest should be. As a Catholic, I was actively involved and participating in my faith.

- 19. In late 1986, I was feeling depressed and needed help. I thought that medication might help me in the state that I was in and I told Father Paul that maybe a psychiatrist could help me.
- 20. Father Paul insisted that he was capable of assisting me through my difficulties and that a psychiatrist would probably give no advise concerning my problems other than what Father Paul could provide for me.
- 21. I began to counsel with Father Paul in Clergy Counseling throughout 1987.
- 22. In January of 1988, when I was still having major difficulties and depressions and was suicidal, I sought the help of DR. SEBASTIAN FASANELLO. Dr. Fasanello prescribed an anti-depressant that I took at bedtime which also helped me get to sleep. Father Paul objected to my seeing Dr. Fasanello and said he could do as much for me as a psychiatrist who was not necessary.

- 23. I saw Dr. Fasanello twice for about 45 to 60 minutes each time and then again briefly throughout the year. The subsequent visits after the initial consultation, were much shorter and focused on the effects of the medication and were not therapy sessions.
- 24. I stopped seeing Dr. Fasanello as the insurance only paid for six (6) visits and I could not afford any more.
- 25. When Father Paul found out that I would only be seeing Dr. Fasanello on a monthly basis, he insisted that he be able to help

me during this time and after I ceased seeing Dr. Fasanello. Father Paul expressed satisfaction that I would no longer be receiving counseling from someone else and said that then he could continue his counseling with me.

- 26. Father Paul kept asking me what Dr. Fasanello and I talked about during the visits and he would often comment that he himself told me the same thing, so in essence, they were thinking along the same lines he was providing the same therapy in his counseling of me.
- 27. When I discontinued seeing Dr. Fasanello in September of 1988 because I had used up the six no-pay visits that my insurance allowed, Father Paul told me that he would continue his counseling and would help me become whole again.
- 28. The sexual advances started to enter our counseling relationship in February of 1988.
- 29. Usually upon greeting me, Father Paul would give me a big "bear hug". I had noticed that he began embracing me in a much more sensual way and would begin kissing me normally at first but then inserted his tongue in my mouth.
- 30. When this began happening, I would ease my way away from him and tell him not to do that and let's sit down and get on with counseling.

- 31. The counseling sessions took place sometimes at the Parish in the private quarters of Father Paul and sometimes in my home. Father Paul frequently saw other parishioners in their homes.
- 32. In March of 1988 while sitting in the living room of my home during a counseling session, I began crying and he moved towards me and embraced me and stood me up and said lets go into your bedroom. I told him no but he insisted that he was not going to do anything to me but hold me as a way of comforting me in this time of need. At that time, that is just what he did; nothing sexual occurred.
- 33. In April of 1988, in a sitting room on the second floor of the Rectory, Father Paul began to massage my neck because he said I appeared very tense. He then told me he knew how to give good back rubs and to lie face down on the couch as this was part of the therapy to get me to relax.
- 34. He suddenly turned me around and began to passionately kiss me and feeling my body through my clothing.
- 35. I kept trying to tell him to stop and told him to stop, but he told me that we were doing nothing wrong and he proceeded to carry me into his bedroom located off the sitting room, undressed me, and then began to embrace me.
- 36. I kept telling that I wanted him to stop and I wanted him to get up, but he lay on top of me insisting that it was OK, its OK, I just want to help you though your problems. He kept telling me we were not doing anything wrong and he knew his limits.

- 37. During the next few months whenever he called me and initiated counseling, he would begin sexual advances and I would tell him to please don't, it was wrong, and he always stated that what he was doing was "within the realm of morality" and that he loved me very much and wanted to help in that this was all his way of getting me to be healthy again.
- 38. I felt guilty, ashamed, and did not know how to stop this behavior.
- 39. I felt so much under his spell; he was the one who was helping me. He was the one I relied on. He was the one who was giving me counseling and telling me what I must do so as to live and not want to die. He said I must know I am wanted and loved so that I can be a whole person and that it is what he would provide for me as a cleric and as a counselor.
- 40. During 1989, things became worse and the sexual relationship accelerated.

- 41. During the Winter, Father Paul insisted that I could stay in one of the "guest rooms in the Rectory" rather than drive home after counseling sessions when the weather was bad. However, he would eventually bring me into his room and molest me.
- 42. Father Paul, during all of this, discouraged me from seeing any psychiatrist, discouraged from seeing other men, in particular a one I had met during this period. Father Paul began being very sarcastic towards me, and told me I was probably going to

get into a "rebound situation" with this other man and he did not think I would be able to handle it psychologically.

- 43. By December of 1990, I was completely under his control and he was guiding my counseling and my life.
- 44. In 1990, Father Paul began to close off the counseling and told me that he felt I was interfering with his relationship with another woman. He indicated that my problems were trivial and not problems he wished to address as counselor or priest. They were still the same problems plus the new problems caused by this relationship. He became verbally abusive to me there after as the physical abuse ceased.
- 45. In January of 1993, I went to the Diocese of Buffalo and spoke with FATHER ROBERT ZAPFEL, Vice-Chancellor of the Diocese of Buffalo, who met me on behalf of the Diocese.
- 46. I explained what had happened and he told me that I did not appear to be a very vengeful person and that Father Paul would be called in.
- 47. In late January of 1993, I received a telephone call at work from Father Zapfel telling me that he and Monsignor Cunningham, the Chancellor of the Diocese, would like me to meet with them by my self.
- 48. I contacted friends and legal counsel who all advised me not to go by myself.

- 49. I then contacted Father Zapfel and told him I would not attend the second meeting at that time.
- 50. Father Zapfel informed me when I asked if Father Paul had been spoken to and he said yes but the Church viewed Father Paul and I as "consenting adults".
- 51. In February of 1993, I began seeing a psychiatrist and a counselor, both of whom dealt with sexual abuse victims and am now receiving counseling for my problems.
- 52. The counseling is extremely expensive and I cannot afford to go to full counseling sessions nor for very much longer.

)

#### AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION - CLERICAL MALPRACTICE

- 53. I repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs ONE through FIFTY-TWO in this Complaint.
- 54. Father Paul presented himself as a trained counselor who wished to aid me in my problems.
- 55. Father Paul represented himself as a trained counselor when he stated to the client, myself, that I did not need to go to Dr. Fasanello but rather I could go to him for counseling and help.
- 56. Father Paul represented himself as a trained counselor when he said he could "do the same thing" as a psychiatrist.

- 57. Father Paul was authorized by the Church to be a pastoral counselor and had served in that capacity with other persons, including me, in the past.
- 58. Father Paul violated his role as a counselor when he sexually molested me.
- 59. Father Paul violated his role as a counselor when he told me that this was acceptable behavior and part of my therapy.
- 60. Father Paul violated his role as a counselor when he told me that the sexual behavior was morally acceptable.
- 61. Father Paul violated his role as a counselor when he told me that I should not continue to develop a relationship with my male friend because it would be harmful to me when, in fact, he was concerned about other sexual involvement.
- 62. Father Paul violated his role as a counselor when he directed me in my behavior, in particular towards male friends although this relationship was not a threat to life.
- 63. Father Paul violated his counseling relationship for although I had been suicidal, he continued to sexually abuse me. He did not tell me to seek psychiatric help even though my suicidal thoughts were extreme and I, in fact, attempted suicide twice. This is a violation of therapeutic standards.
- 64. Father Paul violated his counseling relationship with me when he breached my confidentiality by discussing my cases with other

women with whom, on information and belief, he was involved either physically or as a priest.

- 65. I viewed Father Paul as Father and he was aware of my respect and my strong Catholic belief in his role as a representative of God and of the Church. He used that role to my disadvantage in what became an "incestuous" relationship.
- 66. On information and belief, Father Paul has entered into relationships with other women on prior occasions and the Church authorities were aware of this or should have been aware.
- 67. As Pastor of the Church, Father Paul was under the control of the Diocese who gave him full authority to conduct his pastoral duties.
- 68. It is the authority of the Church which enables Father Paul to be a priest, to be a pastoral counselor; and to maintain all the authority over his parishioners and demand the faith of his parishioners.
- 69. On information and belief, the Diocese knew or should have known that Father Paul has been involved with other women before and should have taken action to prevent further involvement.
- 70. Father Paul has taken an oath of chastity which as a priest, which he informed me he was permitted to break for humane purposes such as aiding me to make my life whole.

71. By this malpractice of the Clergy, I have been severely and permanently damaged and indeed attempted to end my life on two (2) separate occasions and have been grievously harmed.

#### AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION - IN NEGLIGENCE

- 72. I repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs FIFTY-TWO through SEVENTY-ONE in this Complaint.
- 73. The REVEREND PAUL P. SABO was negligent in that he had a duty to care having undertaken that duty in offerings of demands that I seek counseling only with Father Paul and in a duty as a priest to parishioner to see that I was not harmed by behavior, verbal or physical, from a member of the Clergy.
- 74. Father Paul as an individual priest and as pastor of the Church and on behalf of his Church and on behalf of the Diocese of Buffalo, as a corporation, and those who were corporate officers of the Diocese and those who were chief administrative officers of the Church, breached that duty owed to me as client and Catholic parishioner when Father Paul was permitted and engaged in a sexual relationship with me for whom he was priest, confessor, pastor, and counselor.
- 75. It is this breach of duty which caused me great pain and distress and has prevented me from solving my prior problems and has added immensely to the pain that I must now bear.

76. I have been damaged irretrievably by this breach of duty, not only physically in that I was subjected to physical pain during the sexual abuse, in addition to the physical damage done to me which stress still affects me, and in addition to the psychological damage done to me by sexual abuse, I have further been made to lose my faith which has been my lifelong commitment and belief system. I have been grievously damaged in that I can no longer believe in the sanctity of the Church.

#### AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION - PERSONAL INJURY

- 77. I repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs SEVENTY-ONE through SEVENTY-SIX in this Complaint.
- 78. I have been grievously injured by both Father Paul individually and as a priest and as a pastor of the Church, by his local Church, the VISITATION CATHOLIC CHURCH SOCIETY OF BUFFALO, NEW YORK, by the CATHOLIC DIOCESE OF BUFFALO by those who are administrators of the Diocese, and by those who are officers of the corporate Diocese in that I have been physically and psychologically damaged by the pain of a sexual relationship; the tension never leaves me.
- 79. As a result of Father Paul's sexual and psychological abuse of me and the remaining Defendant's acceptance of and failure to curtail such abuse, Father Paul has caused me to suffer the severe personal injuries and damages herein alleged.

- 80. The personal and physical injuries suffered by me were solely caused by Father Paul's negligence and/or intentional acts, and without any negligence or assumption of risk on my part.
- 81. Father Paul failed to exercise the care due me, by a reasonable and prudent person similarly situated, when he sexually abused me on numerous occasions and all Defendants failed to exercise due care with me when they knew or should have known of Father Paul's acts.
- 82. Due to Father Paul's negligence and/or intentional acts and the negligence of the other Defendant's, personal injuries consisting of physical, mental and emotional trauma, which have caused me to have difficulty in relations with men, have forced me to curtail my work and social life with resultant lost economic opportunity, and the great amounts of time, expense and emotional pain, incurred during counseling sessions as I learned of the wrongs done to me by Defendants.
- 83. I am full of emotional scars that will never heal because of this sexual abuse of me and my faith is destroyed.
- 84. On information and belief, I will have to endure many more years of counseling and therapy in an effort to lead a normal life and to enter into mutually satisfying relationships with men.

### AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION - BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 85. I repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs SEVENTY-SIX through EIGHTY-THREE in this Complaint.
- 86. As a member of the Roman Catholic Church, I have an implied contract with the Church, if I am a devoted and faithful member of the Church, I will receive certain blessings from the Church.
- 87. As a client of Father Paul, I have an implied contract with Father Paul for counseling services. This contract between parishioner and priest for pastoral counseling, is endorsed by the hierarchy of the Roman Catholic Church and the Diocese of Buffalo and by the individual Church for which Father Paul was pastor.
  - 88. This implied contract has been breached both in its harm to me as a client in counseling and as a faithful member of the Church.
  - 89. I was implicit in the implied contract is that both Father Paul, his local Church, and the Diocese will treat me in a manner consistent with the Catholic beliefs.
  - 90. Catholic beliefs involve the authority of the Church which viewed each and every priest to be a representative of all that is Holy and all that is devout and all that comes from God.
  - 91. The implied contract expressly forbids sexual relations between priests and others, including parishioners.

- 92. There was a breach of contract both between Clerical Counselor Sabo and myself and between the Holy Roman Catholic Church and myself in that sexual relationships were forced upon me based on the authority given to Father Paul by the Church.
- 93. Father Sabo breached his implied contract for counseling by violating the standard of every professional code of ethics for counselors of no sexual relationship between therapist or counselor and client.
- 94. I have been grievously harmed by this contract and require very expensive counseling to begin to overcome the damage.

### AS AND FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION - IN FRAUD

- 95. I repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs EIGHTY-FOUR through NINETY-FOUR in this Complaint.
- 96. Father Paul presented himself to me as a priest and pastoral counselor.

- 97. Father Paul had the endorsement of his Church and the Diocese of Buffalo and the administrators of the Diocese of Buffalo and the officers of the corporation of the Diocese of Buffalo as a priest.
- 98. While I was vulnerable as a distraught and suicidal parishioner, Father Paul fraudulently represented to me that he would be an appropriate counselor to the exclusion of other counselors.

- 99. Father Paul fraudulently presented to me that he would relieve my pain and cure me of my stress by engaging in a sexual relationship with me, which would make me feel whole and trusting.
- 100. Father Paul fraudulently presented to me that this sexual relationship was acceptable, that it was desirable, and that it was acceptable to the Church authorities as morally right.
- 101. I believed in what Father Paul had told me as I had no other point of reference because Father Paul presented that he and he alone was able to help me.

- 102. In reliance upon the statements of Father Paul, I became the victim of sexual abuse by a priest.
- 103. Father Paul knew or should have known that the statements he made to me were false.
- 104. On information and belief, the Diocese knew or should have known of Father Paul's past conduct with other women and of his conduct with me.
- 105. When informed of this conduct, the Diocese refused to consider that it was unethical and unacceptable for the behavior of a priest, but that it was an illness which might result in Father Paul receiving counseling. The Church would pay for counseling for the perpetrator, but not for the victim.

- 106. Because I was so distraught and suicidal and only working off and on, I found that I had no emotional ability to clearly view these fraudulent statements.
- 107. It was only when I began therapy with other professionals that I began to see how bizarre the situation was.
- 108. Both the VISITATION CATHOLIC CHURCH SOCIETY OF BUFFALO, NEW YORK and the DIOCESE OF BUFFALO and its officers and administrators knew or should have known that the Rectory and private quarters of the pastor of that Church, that is Father Paul, were used for illicit sexual purposes.

)

)

- 109. The use of Church property for such activities is a fraud upon the parishioners, including myself who have been taught specifically by the Church of the moral authority of priests, including Father Paul, and of the vows of celibacy of priests.
- adults and therefore any sex engaged in was freely done is a fraud upon myself and others in that it denies the teachings of the Church and the specific statements of Father Paul in terms of righteous behavior of priests and I have been grievously harmed.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant, together with the costs, disbursements, and interest of this action, as follows:

- 1. In the first cause of action, for damages in the amount of TWO MILLION DOLLARS (\$2,000,000.00);
- In the second cause of action for damages in the amount of TWO MILLION DOLLARS (\$2,000,000.00);
- 3. In the third cause of action, for damages in the amount of TWO MILLION DOLLARS (\$2,000,000.00);
- 4. In the fourth cause of action, for damages in the amount of TWO MILLION DOLLARS (\$2,000,000.00);
- 5. In the fifth cause of action, for damages in the amount of TWO MILLION DOLLARS (\$2,000,000.00);

DATED: Buffalo, New York January 10, 1994

SHEILA G. GRAZIANO, ESQ. Attorney for Plaintiff 630 Convention Tower 43 Court Street Buffalo, New York 14202 (716) 855-1177

Verification on inside of cover

Control of the Contro	
公司等不为到1	1.
	- 3
, , , ,	

ATE OF NEW YORK
DUNTY OF ERIE

} ss.:

INDIVIDUAL VERIFICATION

knows the contents threeof; that the same is true to deponent's own included, except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged matters deponent believes it to be true.  19 The windersigned, and that sate of lower is the comparation and belief, and that sate of lower is the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's own including, except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged on the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's own including except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged upon information and belief, and as to the same is true to deponent's own information and belief, and as to the matters therein stated to be alleged upon information and belief, and as to the matters deponent because ment is an officer thereof, to wit its depondent's belief as to all matters; and the foregoing of the same is true to deponent's own in the within action; that deponent is a upon deponent thereof to own its depondent's belief as to all matters; and the foregoing of the same is true to deponent's own in the within action; that deponent is a upon deponent thereof to own its depondent's belief as to all matters; and the foregoing of the same is true to deponent's own in the within action; that deponent is been compared by the undersigned with the original and found to be a true and complete copy in the undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, certifies that the within has been compared by the undersigned with the original and found to be a true and complete copy in the within action; that deponent has read the foregoing on the undersigned with the original and found to be a true and complete copy in the within action; that deponent has read the foregoing on the wind and the proposed of deponent's belief at to all matters or to be true. Deponent in the within action; that deponent as the true to deponent the true to the true to the true to the proposed town the variety of the proposed town the variety of the proposed town the variety of the pr	THE BRID	of Dunnaro	<b>,</b>	•	
knows the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent so we houseledge, except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged matters and belief, and that as to figure matters deponent believes it to be true.  **No before my to be offered my applied my app		BUFFALO	heina duly sworn, denoses ar	ed cases that denomine is	
hanous the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's own broudedge, except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged maintained may belief, and that at to those matters deponent believes it to be true.  The vicinity of th		ent has read the fores	soins COVDI 3 THM	a says. that deponPLAINT	IFF
The undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, certifies that the within action; that deponent is the undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, certifies that the within action; that deponent is the undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, certifies that the within action; that deponent is the undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, certifies that the within action; that deponent to help and the process and says that deponent is the corporation named in the within action; that deponent is the corporation is made by deponent because, and though the process of the term of the foregoing and knows the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent to the process of the term of the corporation of the process in the term of the corporation of the process in the term of the corporation of the process in the term of the corporation of the process of the term of the corporation of the process of the term of the corporation of the process of the term of the corporation of the process of the term of the corporation of the process of the term of the corporation of t	knows the contents the			nowledge except as to the	natters therein stated to be alleged on
The undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, certifies that the within action; that deponent is not work to be fore made by the undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, thous: that deponent's has been compared by the undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, thouse: that deponent's in the undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, thouse: that deponent's in the undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, thouse: that deponent's in the undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, thouse: that deponent's in the undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, thouse: that deponent's in the undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, thouse: that deponent's it and the undersigned with the original and found to be a true and complete copy in the uniform the three of the term of the undersigned with the original and found to be altered on informatically, and at to those must be copy in the undersigned with the original that there is no officer presently in the grounds of deponent's belief at to all matters not stated upon deponent's knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on informatically, and at to those must be copy in the undersigned at the three is no officer presently in the undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of parjury.  **The grounds of deponent's belief at to all matters not stated upon deponent's knowledge are as follows:  **The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of parjury.  **The description of the person described at the defendant on the defendant of the person described as the defendant	rmation and belief, and	that as to those matters of	deponent believes it to be tru	ie.	mariers mercini stated to be averaged on
DONNAL BURDICK  TO FINY YOR  OF  being duly sworm, deposes and say: that deponent is the foregoing  continued to the contents thereof; that the same is intent to deponent of the foregoing and the contents thereof; that the same is uncertainty to the foregoing and knows the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent of the foregoing and the matters therein stated to be alleged upon information and belief, and as to those matters deponent believes it to be true to before me, this day of  The undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, certifies that the within the within action, that deponent believes to be fore me, this day of  The undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, certifies that the within the within action, that deponent has read the foregoing moust the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's own knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on information that there is no officer presently in The grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters not stated upon deponent's knowledge are as follows:  AFFIRMATION BY ATTORN The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  ECONEW YORK NIT OF  OF  The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  ECONEW YORK NIT OF  AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTIO  OF  The description of the person served down is the title fall the still defendant therein and that he (she) served the annexed  The description of the person served down is the still defendant therein and that he (she) knew the person served to be the person described as the defendant therein and that he (she) knew the person served to be the person described as the defendant therein  The description of the person served down is the side of mines were the person served to be the person described as the defendant therein  and that he (she) knew the person served to be the person described as the defendant the	n to before mg, Mis/Of	5 day of January	,1994.)		
DONNE Success of New York  NOTY OF  Defining duly sworm, deposes and says: that deponent is the foregoing the foregoing on the matters therein stated to be alleged upon information and belief, and as to the same is time to deponent to entered in the within action; that deponent is the foregoing on the matters therein stated to be alleged upon information and belief, and as to those matters deponent believes it to, be true everification is made by deponent because the properties of the matters therein stated to be alleged upon information and belief, and as to those matters deponent believes it to, be true everification is made by deponent because the properties of the p	may Kud	My commission expir	res-		
The Undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, certifies that the within action; that deponent and by the undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, certifies that the within action; that deponent is the undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, shows: that the proment is and complete copies.  The undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, thous: that the within action; that deponent is the within action; that deponent is the speed of the prometry is the speed of the spe	ry Public Stal of New York;	0'.	4	BURDICK	
Composition to the composition named in the within action; that deponent is the foregoing and house the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's one house contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's one house the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's one house the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's one house matters deponent believes it to be true and foregoing the power of the property is to be true. The grounds of deponent's belief as to all maîters in the property of the prope		County.	Notary Public, St	tale of the deli	
being duly sworn, deposes and says: that deponent is the corporation ramed in the within action; that deponent's or helder, except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged upon information and belief, and as to those matters deponent believes it to be true verification in and by deponent because is a corporation and belief, and as to those matters deponent believes it to be true verification in the deponent's belief as to all matters is a corporation and belief, and as to those matters deponent believes it to be true to deponent's belief as to all matters is a corporation of the province of th			Qualified in	es October 29, 19	CORPORATION VERIFICATION
being duly sworn, deposes and says: that deponent is the corporation named in the within action; that deponent's onledge, except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged upon information and belief, and as to those matters deponent believes it to be true and knows the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's onledge, except as to the matters deponent because is a corporation and belief, and as to those matters deponent because ment is an officer thereof, to-wit its is upon deponent's knowledge are as follows:  The grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters of the promote of deponent's belief as to all matters of the substitute of the promote of the p		OF.	My Commission Expan		
the corporation named in the within action; that deponent's obelege, except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged upon information and belief, and as to those matters deponent believes it to be true undersigned, and as to observe the contents of the state					
the foregoing and knows the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's obeloge, except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged upon information and belief, and as to those matters deponent believes it to be true serification is made by deponent because corporation and belief, and as to those matters deponent believes it to be true is a corporation and formation and belief, and as to those matters deponent believes it to be true and corporation and provided in the provided in the provided are as follows:  In the winders of deponent's belief as to all matters a large of the winders of deponent's belief as to all matters and upon deponent's knowledge are as follows:  The grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters are the provided in the winders of New York State, certifies that the within has been compared by the undersigned with the original and found to be a true and complete cope in the within action; that deponent has read the foregoing moust the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's own however, the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent on the true to the provided in the within action; that deponent has read the foregoing except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on information at the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent for the provided expenses the provided in the within action; that there is no officer presently in the grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters not stated upon deponent further says that the reason this verification is made by deponent and the undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  **The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.**  **The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.**  **The description of the person served above is: Sex		, 6	seing duly sworn, deposes an		of
pledge, except at to the matters therein stated to be alleged upon information and belief, and as to those matters deponent believes it to be true serification it made by deponent because a corporation of the product	the foregoing				
ment is an officer thereof, to will the selled as to all matters of deponent's belief as to all matters of deponent's knowledge are as follows:    Indicate the following of the production of the production of the production of the person described as the defendant of the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.    It would be fore me, this		matters therein stated to	ana he alleged upon information	and helief and acta those n	that the same is true to deponent's own natters denonent helieves it to be true.
ment is an officer thereof, to-wit its apon deponent's hnowledge are as follows:  to before me, this day of 19 My commission expires.  AFFIRMATION BY ATTORN  AFF			To any our approximation	and being, and as to those in	
to before me, this day of		_		The grounds of	
AFFIRMATION BY ATTORNS The undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, certifies that the within has been compared by the undersigned with the original and found to be a true and complete copy the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, shows: that deponent to be a true and complete copy the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, shows: that deponent(s) is (are) the attorney(s) of recompared by the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, shows: that deponent(s) is (are) the attorney(s) of recompared to the contents thereof, that the same is true to deponent's one knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on informative to those matters deponent believes it to be true. Deponent further says that the reason this verification is made by deponent as it shat there is no officer presently in  The grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters not stated upon deponent's knowledge are as follows:  The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  FEO NEW YORK  NIY OF    AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTIO on the defendant of the level of the person served above is: Sex of the description of the person served doore is: Sex of the defendant therein is the matter sure are as a server of the United State.   The description of the person served above is: Sex of the indiving features.				· Ine Brownings of	,
The undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, certifies that the within has been compared by the undersigned with the original and found to be a true and complete cope to the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, shows: that deponent(s) is fare) the attorney(s) of recommons the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, shows: that deponent(s) is fare) the attorney(s) of recommons the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, shows: that deponent(s) is fare) the attorney(s) of recommons the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, shows: that deponent(s) is fare) the attorney(s) of recommons the undersigned that the same is true to deponent so were howeledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on informatic ledief, and as to those matters deponent believes it to be true. Deponent further says that the reason this verification is made by deponent as it has there is no officer presently in  The grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters not stated upon deponent's knowledge are as follows:  The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  ED:  AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTION OF NEW YORK NITY OF DESCRIPTION OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTION OF PERSONAL					
AFIRMATION BY ATTORNS  The undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, certifies that the within has been compared by the undersigned with the original and found to be a true and complete cope of the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, shows: that deponent(s) is (are) the attorney(s) of recompared by the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, shows: that deponent(s) is (are) the attorney(s) of recompared to the within action; that deponent has read the foregoing mows the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent so wen howledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on informatic elief, and as to those matters deponent believes it to be true. Deponent further says that the reason this verification is made by deponent as is that there is no officer presently in The grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters not stated upon deponent's knowledge are as follows:  The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  The undersigned affirm that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  The undersigned affirm that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  The undersigned affirm that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  The undersigned affirm that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  The undersigned affirm that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  The undersigned affirm that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  The undersigned affirm that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  The undersigned affirm that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  The undersigned affirm that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  The undersigned, and attorney defined					
The undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, certifies that the within has been compared by the undersigned with the original and found to be a true and complete coperation.  AFFIRMATION BY ATTORNE  The undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, shows: that deponent(s) is (are) the attorney(s) of recompared by the undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, shows: that deponent(s) is (are) the attorney(s) of recompared by the undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, shows: that deponent(s) is (are) the attorney(s) of recompared by the undersigned at the same is true to deponent so on the new there is not officer presently in the tones matters deponent believes it to be true. Deponent further says that the reason this verification is made by deponent as it that there is no officer presently in the grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters not stated upon deponent's knowledge are as follows:  The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  D:  AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTION on the defendant on the day of the delivering to and leaving a true copy therefor with on the day of the description of the person so served to be the person described as the defendant therein and that he (she) knew the person so served to be the person described as the defendant therein in the undersigned of the United Serve.  The description of the person served above is: Sex to the server and any that the server were the person of the United Server.	ato before me, this	day of	, 19 . )		
The undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, certifies that the within has been compared by the undersigned with the original and found to be a true and complete cognition.  AFFIRMATION BY ATTORNS  The undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, shows: that deponent(s) is (are) the attorney(s) of recogning on the unithin action; that deponent has read the foregoing defect of the attorney(s) of recogning ones to those matters therein stated to be alleged on informativelief, and as to those matters deponent believes it to be true. Deponent further says that the reason this verification is made by deponent any is that there is no officer presently in  The grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters not stated upon deponent's knowledge are as follows:  The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  ED:  AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTIO OF ), being duly sworn, deposes and says: that he (she) is over 18 years of age, and not a party to to on the defendant on the day of		My commission expire	es-		
The undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, certifies that the within has been compared by the undersigned with the original and found to be a true and complete cognition.  AFFIRMATION BY ATTORNEY  The undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, shows: that deponent(s) is (are) the attorney(s) of recognition in the within action; that deponent has read the foregoing moves the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent sown knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on informative in the state of the semanters deponent believes it to be true. Deponent further says that the reason this verification is made by deponent as y is that there is no officer presently in The grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters not stated upon deponent's knowledge are as follows:  The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  ED:  AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTION on the defendant of the interest of the knew the person so served to be the person described as the defendant therein and that he (she) knew the person so served to be the person described as the defendant therein in the within the visit defendant of the fine of strike we not recovery the interest of the United State.			)		
The undersigned, and attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, certifies that the within has been compared by the undersigned with the original and found to be a true and complete cope has been compared by the undersigned with the original and found to be a true and complete cope has been compared by the undersigned with the original and found to be a true and complete cope has been compared by the undersigned with the original and found to be a true and complete cope has been compared by the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, shows: that deponent(s) is (are) the attorney(s) of recomposition in the within action; that deponent has read the foregoing mows the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's own knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on informative infection in the matters deponent believes it to be true. Deponent further says that the reason this verification is made by deponent as the grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters not stated upon deponent's knowledge are as follows:  The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  DEFINATION PATTORNE  AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTION OF PERSONAL SERVIC	tally qualified in	County.			
AFFIRMATION BY ATTORNS  The undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, shows: that deponent(s) is (are) the attorney(s) of reco in the within action; that deponent has read the foregoing mows the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's own knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on informatic sellef, and as to those matters deponent believes it to be true. Deponent further says that the reason this verification is made by deponent as is that there is no officer presently in  The grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters not stated upon deponent's knowledge are as follows:  The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  ED:  AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTIO FOREW YORK NITY OF OF					CERTIFICATION BY ATTORNEY
The undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, shows: that deponent(s) is (are) the attorney(s) of reco in the within action; that deponent has read the foregoing mows the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's own knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on informative believes it to be true. Deponent further says that the reason this verification is made by deponent at it is that there is no officer presently in the grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters not stated upon deponent's knowledge are as follows:  The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  ED:  AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTIO FOR NEW YORK NTY OF	The undersigned, and	attorney admitted to prac	ctice in the Courts of New Y	ork State, certifies that the w	ithin
The undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, shows: that deponent(s) is (are) the attorney(s) of reco in the within action; that deponent has read the foregoing snows the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's own knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on informative in the same is true to deponent so the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's own knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on informative in the state there is no officer presently in the grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters not stated upon deponent's knowledge are as follows:  The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  ED:  AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTION OF LAMPS)  ss.:  OF  being duly sworn, deposes and says: that he (she) is over 18 years of age, and not a party to the content of the defendant of the person served the annexed on the defendant on the defendant on the day of 19 at A.M.(P.M.) at 19 at A.M.(P.M.) at 19 and that he (she) knew the person served to be the person described as the defendant therein on the description of the person served above is: Sex 150 kin color 16 king the military service of the United States.  The description of the person served above is: Sex 150 kin color 16 king the military service of the United States.		ha	s been compared by the und	ersigned with the original and	found to be a true and complete copy.
The undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, shows: that deponent(s) is (are) the attorney(s) of reco in the within action; that deponent has read the foregoing innows the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's own knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on informative the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's unw knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on informative to those matters deponent believes it to be true. Deponent further says that the reason this verification is made by deponent and is that there is no officer presently in  The grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters not stated upon deponent's knowledge are as follows:  The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  ED:  AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTION OF NEW YORK)  The description of the annexed	ED:				
The undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, shows: that deponent(s) is (are) the attorney(s) of reco in the within action; that deponent has read the foregoing snows the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's own knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on informative to those matters deponent believes it to be true. Deponent further says that the reason this verification is made by deponent at its that there is no officer presently in  The grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters not stated upon deponent's knowledge are as follows:  The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  ED:  AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTION OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DE					
The undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, shows: that deponent(s) is (are) the attorney(s) of reco in the within action; that deponent has read the foregoing innows the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's own knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on informative the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's unw knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on informative to those matters deponent believes it to be true. Deponent further says that the reason this verification is made by deponent and is that there is no officer presently in  The grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters not stated upon deponent's knowledge are as follows:  The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  ED:  AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTION OF NEW YORK)  The description of the annexed					
The undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of New York State, shows: that deponent(s) is (are) the attorney(s) of reco in the within action; that deponent has read the foregoing snows the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's own knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on informative the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's unit knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on informative the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent further says that the reason this verification is made by deponent and is that there is no officer presently in  The grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters not stated upon deponent's knowledge are as follows:  The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  ED:  AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTION OF NEW YORK)  The description of the annexed					
in the within action; that deponent has read the foregoing in the within action; that deponent has read the foregoing snows the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's own knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on informative tellef, and as to those matters deponent believes it to be true. Deponent further says that the reason this verification is made by deponent any is that there is no officer presently in its that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  ED:  AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTION OF THE CASH OF THE ACCOUNT OF DESCRIPTION OF THE CASH OF THE		The second second			
intows the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's own knowledge, except as to matters therein stated to be alleged on informative elief, and as to those matters deponent believes it to be true. Deponent further says that the reason this verification is made by deponent any is that there is no officer presently in The grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters not stated upon deponent's knowledge are as follows:  The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  ED:  AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTIO FOR Service WITH DESCRIPTION FOR SERVICE WITH THE RESERVICE FOR SERVICE WITH THE RESERVICE WITH THE SERVICE WITH THE RESERVICE WITH THE RESER	_				ient(s) is (are) the attorney(s) of record
relief, and as to those matters deponent believes it to be true. Deponent further says that the reason this verification is made by deponent any is that there is no officer presently in  The grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters not stated upon deponent's knowledge are as follows:  The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  ED:  AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTIO Service)  TEOFNEW YORK  NITY OF  OF  , being duly sworn, deposes and says: that he (she) is over 18 years of age, and not a party to the on the defendant on the description of the person served above is: Sex; Skin color; Hair color; Approx. age  **The description of the person served above is: Sex; Skin color; Hair color; Approx. age; Skin color	in th	ie within action; that depo	onent has read the foregoing	dia avenue se ta mattera than	air stated to be allowed on information
is that there is no officer presently in  The grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters not stated upon deponent's knowledge are as follows:  The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  ED:  AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTION OF THE DESCRIPTION	elief, and as to those	reoj; inai ine same is irui matters deponent believe	s it to be true. Deponent fu	age, except as to matters thei arther says that the reason th	is verification is made by denoment and
The grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters not stated upon deponent's knowledge are as follows:  The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  ED:  AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTION OF DESCRIPTI				and only that the tousen in	is very teariors to make by deponent and
The undersigned affirms that the foregoing statements are true, under penalty of perjury.  AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTION FOR Service (WITH DESCRIPTION FOR Service))  If OF				's knowledge are as follows:	
AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTION OF DESCRIPTION OF Served the annexed	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			a mountage and an joinous.	
AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTION OF Service was not as a says: that he (she) is over 18 years of age, and not a party to that he (she) served the annexed					· ·
AFFIDAVIT OF PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTION FOR PERSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTION		ns that the foregoing state	ements are true, under penal	ty of perjury.	
OF	ED:				*
OF					
OF	4				
OF	TO COM			AFFIDAVIT OF PE	RSONAL SERVICE (WITH DESCRIPTION)
of, being duly sworn, deposes and says: that he (she) is over 18 years of age, and not a party to to that he (she) served the annexed			1		
, being duly sworn, deposes and says: that he (she) is over 18 years of age, and not a party to to that he (she) served the annexed			} ss.:		
on the defendant	(				
named 'serein, by personally delivering to and leaving a true copy thereof with	no al	, bein	ng duly sworn, deposes and		
on the day of, 19, atA.M.(P.M.) at and that he (she) knew the person so served to be the person described as the defendant therein  The description of the person served above is: Sex; Skin color; Hair color; Approx. age  "X. weight; Approx. height; Other identifying features  "St. knowledge in features and helief the told defendant at the time of service was not according the military service of the United States.	that he (she) served t	he annexed		on the defend	dant
on the day of, 19, atA.M.(P.M.) at and that he (she) knew the person so served to be the person described as the defendant therein  The description of the person served above is: Sex; Skin color; Hair color; Approx. age  "x. weight; Approx. height; Other identifying features  "est knowledge information and helief the said defendant at the time of service was not according the military service of the United States.		, named serein, by perso	nally delivering to and leaving	ng a true copy thereof with _	
The description of the person served above is: Sex; Skin color; Hair color; Approx. age  "" weight; Approx. height; Other identifying features  "" throwledge information and helief the said defendant at the time of service was not according the military service of the United States.		on theday of	, 19_	, atA.M.(P.M.	.) at
" Approx. height; Other identifying features	The	a	nd that he (she) knew the pe	erson so served to be the person	on described as the defendant therein.
Dest knowledge information and helief the said defendant at the time of service was not appeared in the military service of the United States	escription of the	person served above is: S	ex; Skin color	; Hair color	; Approx. age;
best knowledge, information and belief the said defendant at the time of service was not engaged in the military service of the United States.	weight	; Approx. height	; Other identifying fea	tures	
In Land wheeledge, information and belief the said defendant at the time of service was not engaged in the military service of the United States.	Jen L.				
before me, this day of , 19 . )	to before me, this	ion and belief the said defend	dant at the time of service was n	ot engaged in the military service	of the United States.

My commission expires-